

Higher (U, M) - Derivations in Completely Semi Prime Γ -Rings

M.M. Rahman¹ and S.K. Paul^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Jagannath University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

^{2,*}Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, University of Asia Pacific, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

*e-mail: sanjitkpaul@gmail.com

The aim of the present paper is to prove $d_n(uam) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)ad_j(m), \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where M is a 2-torsion free completely semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the condition $aab\beta c = a\beta bac, \forall a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, U$ is an admissible Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a higher (U, M) -derivation of M .

Keywords: Admissible Lie ideal, higher (U, M) -derivation, completely semiprime Γ -ring.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 13N15; Secondary 16W10, 17C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

If there is a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (M and Γ are additive abelian groups) such that

$$(i) \quad (x + y)az = xaz + yaz, \quad x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y, \quad x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$$

$$(ii) \quad (x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in M; \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma,$$

then M is called a Γ -ring. This concept is more general than that of a ring. Barnes [1] generalized the notion of this type of ring which was introduced by Nobusawa [2]. A Γ -ring M is a *prime Γ -ring* if $\forall a, b \in M, a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, M is called *semiprime* if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ (with $a \in M$) implies $a = 0$ and it will be *completely semiprime* if $a\Gamma a = 0$ (with $a \in M$) implies $a = 0$. A Γ -ring M is *2-torsion free* if $2a = 0$ implies $a = 0, \forall a \in M$.

For any $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we induce a new product, the *Lie product* by $[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y - y\alpha x$. An additive subgroup $U \subset M$ is said to be a *Lie ideal* of M if whenever $u \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $[u, m]_\alpha \in U$. In the main results of this article we assume that the Lie ideal U verifies $u\alpha u \in U, \forall u \in U$. A Lie ideal of this type is called a *square closed Lie ideal*. Furthermore, if the Lie ideal U is square closed and U is not contained in $Z(M)$, where $Z(M)$ denotes the center of M , then U is called an *admissible Lie ideal* of M .

Throughout the article, we use the condition $aab\beta c = a\beta bac, \forall a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and this is represented by (*). We make the basic commutator identities:

$$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + x[\alpha, \beta]_z y + x\alpha[y, z]_\beta, \quad [x, y\alpha z]_\beta = [x, y]_\beta \alpha z - y[\alpha, \beta]_x z + y\alpha[x, z]_\beta, \\ \forall a, b, c \in M, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \text{ According to the condition (*), the above two identities reduces to}$$

$$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + x\alpha [y, z]_\beta, [x, y\alpha z]_\beta = [x, y]_\beta \alpha z + y\alpha [x, z]_\beta, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Herstein [3] proved a well known result in prime rings which states that 'every Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation'. Afterwards many mathematicians studied extensively the derivations in prime rings. (U, R) -derivations in rings have been introduced by Faraj, Haetinger and Majeed [4], as a generalization of Jordan derivations on a Lie ideal of a ring. The notion of (U, R) -derivation extends the concept given in [5]. Faraj, Haetinger and Majeed [4] proved that if R is a prime ring, $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, U is a square closed Lie ideal of R and d is a (U, R) -derivation of R , then $d(ur) = d(u)r + ud(r)$, $\forall u \in U, r \in R$.

The notions of derivation and Jordan derivation in Γ -rings have been introduced by Sapanci and Nakajima [6]. Afterwards, in the light of some significant results due to Jordan left derivation of a classical ring obtained by Jun and Kim [7], some extensive results of left derivation and Jordan left derivation of a Γ -ring were determined by Ceven [8]. Halder and Paul [9] extended the results of Lie ideals [8].

In this article, we prove that $d_n(u\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)$, $\forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where M is a 2-torsion free completely semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the condition $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$, $\forall a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, U is an admissible Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a higher (U, M) -derivation of M .

2. (U, M) -DERIVATION

In this section we recall some definitions and lemmas (established earlier) that are important for representing our main objective in the later section.

Rahman and Paul [10] introduced the concept of (U, M) -derivation of Γ -ring in the following way:

Definition 1: Let M be a Γ -ring and U be a Lie ideal of M . An additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be a (U, M) -derivation of M if $d(u\alpha m + s\alpha u) = d(u)\alpha m + u\alpha d(m) + d(s)\alpha u + s\alpha d(u)$, $\forall u \in U, m, s \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.1: ([10, Lemma 1]) Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), and U be a Lie ideal of M and d be a (U, M) -derivation of M , then

$$(i) \quad d(u\alpha m\beta u) = d(u)\alpha m\beta u + u\alpha d(m)\beta u + u\alpha m\beta d(u), \forall u \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

$$(ii) \quad d(u\alpha m\beta v + v\alpha m\beta u) = d(u)\alpha m\beta v + u\alpha d(m)\beta v + u\alpha m\beta d(v) + d(v)\alpha m\beta u + v\alpha d(m)\beta u + v\alpha m\beta d(u), \forall u, v \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 2: Let d be a (U, M) -derivation of M . We define $\phi_\alpha(u, m) = d(u\alpha m) - d(u)\alpha m - u\alpha d(m)$, $\forall u \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.2: Let d be a (U, M) -derivation of M , then $\forall u, v \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$:

$$(i) \quad \phi_\alpha(u, m) = -\phi_\alpha(m, u)$$

(ii) $\phi_\alpha(u + v, m) = \phi_\alpha(u, m) + \phi_\alpha(v, m), \forall u, v \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.3: ([10, Lemma 4]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*) and U be a Lie ideal of M such that $U \dot{U} Z(M)$. Then there exist elements $a, b \in U$ such that $[a, b]_\alpha = a\alpha b - b\alpha a \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.4: ([10, Lemma 5]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*) and U be an admissible Lie ideal of M . If $t\alpha v\beta v + v\alpha v\beta t = 0$ for any $t \in M, v \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $t = 0$.

Lemma 2.5: ([10, Theorem 1]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be an admissible Lie ideal of M and d be a (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $\phi_\alpha(u, v) = 0, \forall u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.6: ([10, Lemma 6]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be an admissible Lie ideal of M and d be a (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $\phi_\beta(u\alpha u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 2.1: ([10, Theorem 2]) Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be a square closed Lie ideal of M and d be a (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $d(u\alpha m) = d(u)\alpha m + u\alpha d(m), \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Definition 3. Let M be a Γ -ring and U be a Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a family of additive mappings of M into itself such that $d_0 = id_M$, where id_M is an identity mapping on M . Then D is said to be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, d_n(u\alpha m + s\alpha u) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m) + d_i(s)\alpha d_j(u), \forall u \in U, m, s \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.7: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be a Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u), \forall u \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof: Let $x = u\alpha((2u)\beta m + m\beta(2u)) + ((2u)\beta m + m\beta(2u))\alpha u$.

We have, by Definition 3

$$d_n(u\alpha m + s\alpha u) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m) + d_i(s)\alpha d_j(u) \quad (A)$$

$$\text{If } s = m, \text{ then } d_n(u\alpha m + m\alpha u) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m) + d_i(m)\alpha d_j(u) \quad (B)$$

Now replacing m and s by $(2u)\beta m + m\beta(2u)$ and $(2u)\alpha m + m\alpha(2u)$ respectively in (A) and using (B) and the condition (*), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d_n(u\alpha((2u)\beta m + m\beta(2u)) + ((2u)\alpha m + m\alpha(2u))\beta u) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j((2u)\beta m + m\beta(2u)) + d_i((2u)\alpha m + m\alpha(2u))\beta d_j(u) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n(x) &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha \sum_{l+t=j} (d_l(u)\beta d_t(m) + d_l(m)\beta d_t(u)) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{p+q=i} \sum_{i+j=n} d_j(u)\alpha (d_p(u)\beta d_q(m) + d_p(m)\beta d_q(u)) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i+l+t=n} (d_i(u)\alpha d_l(u)\beta d_t(m) + d_i(u)\alpha d_l(m)\beta d_t(u)) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{p+q+j=n} (d_p(u)\beta d_q(m)\alpha d_j(u) + d_p(m)\beta d_q(u)\alpha d_j(u)) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i+l+t=n} (d_i(u)\alpha d_l(u)\beta d_t(m) + d_i(u)\alpha d_l(m)\beta d_t(u)) + \\
 &\quad 2 \sum_{p+q+j=n} (d_p(u)\alpha d_q(m)\beta d_j(u) + d_p(m)\alpha d_q(u)\beta d_j(u)) \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by the definition of higher (U, M) -derivation and using the condition (*)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n(x) &= 2d_n((u\alpha u)\beta m + m\beta(u\alpha u)) + 2d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) + 2d_n(u\beta m\alpha u) \\
 &= 2d_n((u\alpha u)\beta m + m\beta(u\alpha u)) + 2d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) + 2d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} (d_i(u\alpha u)\beta d_j(m) + d_i(m)\beta d_j(u\alpha u)) + 4d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{r+s=i} d_r(u)\alpha d_s(u)\beta d_j(m) + 2 \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{e+k=j} d_i(m)\alpha d_e(u)\beta d_k(u) \\
 &\quad + 4d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{r+s+j=n} d_r(u)\alpha d_s(u)\beta d_j(m) + 2 \sum_{i+e+k=n} d_i(m)\beta d_e(u)\alpha d_k(u) + 4d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$4d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) = 4 \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u), \forall u \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Using 2-torsion freeness of M we get the desired result.

Lemma 2.8: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be a Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $d_n(u\alpha m\beta v + v\alpha m\beta u) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(v) + d_i(v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u), \forall u, v \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof: Linearizing of $d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u)$ with respect to u gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n((u + v)\alpha m\beta(u + v)) &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u + v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u + v) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} (d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u) + d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(v) \\
 &\quad + d_i(v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u) + d_i(v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(v)) \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n((u + v)\alpha m\beta(u + v)) &= d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) + d_n(u\alpha m\beta v + v\alpha m\beta u) + d_n(v\alpha m\beta v) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} (d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u) + d_n(u\alpha m\beta v + v\alpha m\beta u) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} (d_i(v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(v)) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now comparing (3) and (4) we get

$$d_n(u\alpha m\beta v + v\alpha m\beta u) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(v) + d_i(v)\alpha d_j(m)\beta d_k(u), \forall u, v \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 4: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*) and U be a Lie ideal of M . For every higher (U, M) -derivation $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M , we define

$$\phi_n^\alpha(u, m) = d_n(u\alpha m) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m), \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 2.9: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*) and U be a Lie ideal of M . For every $u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\phi_n^\alpha(u, m) + \phi_n^\alpha(m, u) = 0$.

Lemma 2.10: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*) and let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $a, b \in U, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. If $\phi_p^\alpha(a, b) = 0$, for every $p < n$, then $\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) = 0, \forall a, b \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Let $G = d_n(a\alpha b\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma b\alpha\alpha + b\alpha a\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma a\alpha b)$.

First we compute $G = d_n(a\alpha(b\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma b)\alpha\alpha) + d_n(b\alpha(a\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma a)\alpha b)$.

Using Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \sum_{i+f+l=n} d_i(a)\alpha d_f(b\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma b)\alpha d_l(a) + \sum_{i+f+l=n} d_i(b)\alpha d_f(a\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma a)\alpha d_l(b) \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(a)\alpha d_j(b)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_h(b)\alpha d_l(a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(b)\alpha d_j(a)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_h(a)\alpha d_l(b) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$G = d_n((a\alpha b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma (b\alpha\alpha) + (b\alpha a)\beta[a, b]_\alpha \gamma (a\alpha b)).$$

Using Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$G = \sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(a\alpha b)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_t(b\alpha\alpha)) + \sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(b\alpha a)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_t(a\alpha b)).$$

Comparing both expression of G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(a)\alpha d_j(b)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_h(b)\alpha d_l(a) + \\ &\sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(b)\alpha d_j(a)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_h(a)\alpha d_l(b) = \\ &\sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(a\alpha b)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_t(b\alpha\alpha)) + \sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(b\alpha a)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha \gamma d_t(a\alpha b) \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(a)\alpha d_j(b)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_h(b)\alpha d_l(a) - \\ & \sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(aab)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_t(baa) = \\ & -[\sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} d_i(b)\alpha d_j(a)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_h(a)\alpha d_l(b) - \\ & \sum_{r+s+t=n} d_r(baa)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_t(aab)] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

By the inductive assumption we can put $d_r(x\alpha y)$ for $\sum_{i+j=r} d_i(x)\alpha d_j(y)$, when $r < n$, for $x = a, b$ and $y = b, a$.

Thus, we can write by Definition 4

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j+k+h+l=n} (d_i(a)\alpha d_j(b)\beta d_k([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_h(b)\alpha d_l(a)) \\ & - \sum_{r+s+t=n} (d_r(aab)\beta d_s([a, b]_\alpha)\gamma d_t(baa)) \\ & = -(\phi_n^\alpha(a, b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma baa + aab\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(b, a)) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now using (6), (5) becomes

$$\phi_n^\alpha(a, b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma baa + aab\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(b, a) + \phi_n^\alpha(b, a)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma aab + baa\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n^\alpha(a, b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma baa - aab\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) - \phi_n^\alpha(a, b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma aab \\ & + baa\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\phi_n^\alpha(a, b)\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma[a, b]_\alpha + [a, b]_\alpha\beta[a, b]_\alpha\gamma\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) = 0.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6 we get $\phi_n^\alpha(a, b) = 0, \forall a, b \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.11: Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be an admissible Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $\phi_n^\alpha(u\beta u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: By Lemma 2.10, we have $\phi_n^\alpha(u, v) = 0, \forall u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing v by $u\beta m - m\beta u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi_n^\alpha(u, u\beta m - m\beta u) = d_n(u\alpha u\beta m) - d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) - \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(u\beta m - m\beta u) = \\ & d_n(u\alpha u\beta m) - d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) - \sum_{i+j=n} (d_i(u)\alpha \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(u)\beta d_q(m) - d_p(m)\beta d_q(u)) = \\ & d_n(u\alpha u\beta m) - d_n(u\alpha m\beta u) - \sum_{i+p+q=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_p(u)\beta d_q(m) + \\ & \sum_{i+p+q=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_p(m)\beta d_q(u) = d_n(u\alpha u\beta m) - \sum_{s+q=n} (\sum_{i+p=s} d_i(u)\alpha d_p(u))\beta d_q(m) = \\ & \phi_n^\alpha(u\beta u, m). \end{aligned}$$

3. (U, M) -DERIVATION IN COMPLETELY SEMIPRIME Γ -RING

Now we prove our main result in the following way:

Theorem 3.1: Let M be a 2-torsion free completely semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*), U be an admissible Lie ideal of M and $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a higher (U, M) -derivation of M . Then $d_n(u\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m)$, $\forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: By the definition $\phi_0^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Also by Theorem 2.1, $\phi_1^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now we proceed by induction as

Suppose that $\phi_p^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$

This implies that

$$d_p(u\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=p} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m), u \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } p < n, \text{ where } p, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a higher (U, M) -derivation of M , thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(u\alpha(u\beta m) + (u\beta m)\alpha u) &= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(u\beta m) + d_i(u\beta m)\alpha d_j(u) = u\alpha d_n(u\beta m) + \\ d_n(u)\alpha(u\beta m) &+ \sum_{i+j=n}^{i,j < n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(u\beta m) + (u\beta m)\alpha d_n(u) + d_n(u\beta m)\alpha(u) + \\ \sum_{i+j=n}^{i,j < n} d_i(u\beta m)\alpha d_j(u) &= \\ u\alpha d_n(u\beta m) + d_n(u)\alpha(u\beta m) &+ \sum_{i+j=n}^{i,j < n} d_i(u)\alpha \sum_{s+t=j} d_s(u)\beta d_t(m) + (u\beta m)\alpha d_n(u) + \\ d_n(u\beta m)\alpha(u) &+ \sum_{i+j=n}^{i,j < n} \sum_{l+q=i} d_l(u)\beta d_q(m)\alpha d_j(u) = u\alpha d_n(u\beta m) + d_n(u)\alpha(u\beta m) + \\ \sum_{i+s+t=n}^{i,s+t < n} d_i(u)\alpha d_s(u)\beta d_t(m) &+ (u\beta m)\alpha d_n(u) + d_n(u\beta m)\alpha(u) + \\ \sum_{l+q+j=n}^{l+q, j < n} d_l(u)\beta d_q(m)\alpha d_j(u) & \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.7 and 2.11, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(u\alpha(u\beta m) + (u\beta m)\alpha u) &= d_n(u\alpha u\beta m) + d_n(u\beta m\alpha u) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u\alpha u)\beta d_j(m) + \\ \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\beta d_j(m)\alpha d_k(u) &= \\ \sum_{p+q+j=n} d_p(u)\alpha d_q(u)\beta d_j(m) &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} d_i(u)\beta d_j(m)\alpha d_k(u) = d_n(u)\alpha u\beta m + \\ u\alpha \sum_{q+j=n} d_q(u)\beta d_j(m) &+ \sum_{p+q+j=n}^{p,q+j < n} d_p(u)\alpha d_q(u)\beta d_j(m) + u\beta m\alpha d_n(u) + \\ \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\beta d_j(m)\alpha u &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n}^{i+j, k < n} d_i(u)\beta d_j(u)\alpha d_k(m) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By comparing (7) and (8) and using the condition (*), we get

$$\phi_n^\alpha(u, m)\beta u + u\beta\phi_n^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (9)$$

Linearizing of (9) with respect to u , gives us

$$\phi_n^\alpha(u, m)\beta v + \phi_n^\alpha(v, m)\beta u + u\beta\phi_n^\alpha(v, m) + v\beta\phi_n^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u, v \in U, m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in N$$

Replacing v by $v\alpha v$ and using Lemma 2.4, we get $\phi_n^\alpha(u, m) = 0, \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$. Consequently, we get $d_n(u\alpha m) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(u)\alpha d_j(m), \forall u \in U, m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.E. Barnes; "On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa", Pacific J. Math., Vol. 18(3), pp. 411-422, 1966.
- [2] N. Nobusawa; "On the generalization of the ring theory", Osaka J. Math., Vol. 1, pp. 81-89, 1964.
- [3] I.N. Herstein; "Jordan derivations of prime rings", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 8, pp. 1104-1110, 1957.
- [4] A.K. Faraj, C. Haetinger and A.H. Majeed; "Generalized Higher (U, R)-Derivations", JP Journal of Algebra, Vol. 16(2), pp. 119-142, 2010.
- [5] R. Awtar; "Lie ideals and Jordan derivations of prime rings", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 90(1), pp. 9-14, 1984.
- [6] M. Sapanci and A. Nakajima; "Jordan Derivations on Completely Prime Γ -Rings", Math. Japonica, Vol. 46(1), pp. 47-51, 1997.
- [7] K.W. Jun and B.D. Kim; "A note on Jordan left derivations", Bull. Korean Math. Soc., Vol. 33(2), pp. 221-228, 1996.
- [8] Y. Ceven; "Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings", C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, Vol. 23, pp. 39-43, 2002.
- [9] A.K. Halder and A.C. Paul; "Jordan left derivations on Lie ideals of prime Γ -rings", Punjab University J. Math., Vol. 44, pp. 23-29, 2012.
- [10] M.M. Rahman and A.C. Paul; Generalized higher (U,M) -Derivations in Prime Γ -Rings", Bangladesh J. of Sci. Res., Vol. 27(2), pp. 143-153, 2014.